**Original Article** 

# Screening for some virulent factors among bacterial isolates from surfaces of hospital fomites and hands of healthcare workers

The ability of the bacterial isolate to cause debilitating effects on the

host is intricate and is a function of many factors, particularly that of

the host and the bacteria. Among the bacterial factors are the

virulence mechanisms. As such this research was a cross-sectional study conducted between October–December 2021 to establish the existence of virulent determinants on bacterial isolates from hospital fomites and the hands of healthcare workers. To achieve this, 100 samples (including sink, beddings, door handles, benches, and hands of healthcare workers) from children, female and male wards of Mubi General Hospital were analyzed for bacterial growth

and were identified by standard procedure. Isolates were

subsequently screened for virulent determinants (hemolysis, hemagglutination, biofilm production, and heteroresistance) phenotypically by standard methods. From the 72 bacterial isolates recovered, 23(31.9%) were biofilm-producing organisms. Of these, 15(20.8%) and 8(11.1%) were moderate and high biofilm-producing organisms respectively with no statistical difference (P=0.665). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (13.9%) was the most predominant biofilm-producing organism. Furthermore, hemolysin production was predominant in *Staphylococcus aureus* (71.4%), while positive hemagglutination reaction was predominant in *P. aeruginosa* (38.5%). Sixteen (16) bacterial isolates showed

heteroresistance (HR) to various antibiotics; of these, Escherichia

coli (43.8%) constitute the majority of the isolates. The expression

of such virulence determinants by bacterial isolates in the study

area may constitute a health risk to patients and hamper the quality



Musa Yakubu Tula<sup>1,\*</sup>, Joel Filgona<sup>2</sup>, Serah Erold Kyauta<sup>3</sup>, Richard Elisha<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT

Article info Received: 08 Mar 2022 Revised: 30 Jun 2022 Accepted: 25 Sep 2022

Use your device to scan and read the article online



#### Keywords:

Virulence Factors, Healthcare Workers, Fomites, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 

#### 1. Introduction

In developing countries like Nigeria, bedridden patients in hospitals are more likely to acquire hospital-associated infections (HAIs), particularly the ones caused by bacteria. This could be attributed to inadequate decontamination procedures as a result of many factors notably limited resources. As such, the dissemination of HAIs may occur easily and sometimes facilitated by the hands of healthcare workers and surfaces of fomites in the hospital wards [1].

Bacteria being ubiquitous are unique microorganisms that can be found on or in the human body imparting valuable functions. Others, however, can inhabit, attack, and initiate injury to the host and are cataloged as

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Science Technology, Federal Polytechnic Mubi, PMB 035 Mubi, Adamawa State, Nigeria <sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Adamawa State University, Mubi, Adamawa State, Nigeria

of health care delivery.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Technology, Federal Polytechnic Mubi, Adamawa State, Nigeria

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: Musa Yakubu Tula (birtyty@amail.com)

disease-causing organisms. Species of bacteria that are pathogenic acquire an assortment of factors that enable them to inflict injury to their host and can also modulate these pathogenic determinants to withstand the host defence mechanisms [2]. However, the ability of the bacterial isolate to cause a debilitating effect on the host is intricate and is a function of many factors, particularly that of the host and the bacteria. The bacterial factors are the virulent determinants that help the bacterium inhabit the host. These factors may function as either secretory, membraneassociated, or cytosolic, which are either chromosomally encoded or carried on transferrable hereditary factors like plasmid [<u>3, 4</u>].

The understanding of the nature of bacterial pathogens, including their virulent determinants. is fundamental in the advancement innovative therapeutic of molecules and strategies to combat microbial infections, especially among the incapacitated in hospitals. This is of meticulous significance considering the widespread occurrence of novel organisms that are resistant to readily available antimicrobials [5]. For this reason, this research was conducted to establish the existence of virulence determinants on bacterial isolates from hospital fomites and the hands of healthcare workers in Mubi general hospital.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Study Area

The study area was Mubi general hospital. Mubi General Hospital is located in Mubi-South LGA of Adamawa State within the coordinates 10°15'54.9"N 13°16'10.0" E

# 2.2. Sampling technique/collection

Hundred (100) non-clinical samples from the sink, beddings, tables, door handles, and hands of healthcare workers were collected randomly from the Mubi General Hospital environment. The samples were collected by means of sterile swab sticks and each was immediately introduced into Salmonella-Shigella agar, and repeated in MacConkey agar, Eosin Methylene Blue agar, Cetrimide agar, and Mannitol salt agar. After the incubation for 24 hrs, the pure isolates were transferred aseptically into nutrient agar slants and kept at refrigeration temperature for further use.

### 2.3. Bacteria Identification

After Gram-staining, each Gram-negative bacterial isolate was identified phenotypically on the Microgen A kit as shown in figure 1a [<u>6</u>]. However, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was identified based on its reaction to the cetrimide agar plate (Figure 1b). *Staphylococcus aureus* was identified by its Golden yellow colony on Mannitol salt agar, in addition to catalase and coagulase tests.



**Fig. 1.** a)Microgen GN A kit for identification of Gram-negative bacteria, b)*P. aeruginosa* coloration on cetrimide agar

# 2.4. Biofilm Formation

Biofilm formation among the bacterial isolates was determined by the tube method. In this method, each 24 hrs culture of bacteria in a tube of trypticase soy broth was emptied and washed with phosphate buffer saline. The tubes were air-dried and blotted with crystal violet for 20 min, after which the tubes were rinsed of excess stain with distilled water. Each tube was air-dried in an inverted manner. Positive biofilm development was documented when a visible purple layer covered the bottom of the tube. The isolates were categorized into non-biofilm, moderate, high biofilm-producing and organisms respectively when there is no visible film lining, medium intense film lining and intense film lining [7].

# 2.5. Preliminary detection of heteroresistance

The preliminary detection of heteroresistance to all the bacterial isolates was evaluated using agar disk diffusion method [8] for the following antibiotic disks; septrin (30µg), imipenem (10 µg), ceftriaxone (30 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), erythromycin (30  $\mu$ g), ciprofloxacin (10  $\mu$ g), amoxicillin (30  $\mu$ g), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (30 μg), gentamycin (10 µg), pefloxacin (30 μg), cefoxitin (30  $\mu$ g), and streptomycin (30  $\mu$ g). For each isolate, each disk was carefully laid on the surface of already inoculated Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) plates. Following growth for 18-24hrs at 37°C in an incubator, the presence of discrete varied colonies within the clear inhibition zone was documented as heteroresistance to the tested antibiotic [9].

#### 2.6. Hemolysin production

Hemolysin production was detected using blood agar (Nutrient agar augmented with sheep red blood cells). All bacterial isolates were grown on blood agar and incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 24 hours. The organisms were classified as either  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  or  $\gamma$ -hemolytic. Complete lysis of RBC shown by clear zone around the colonies was taken as  $\beta$ -hemolysis. The presence of a halo (greenish colouration) around the bacterial growth was taken as  $\alpha$ hemolysis (partial hemolysis), while  $\gamma$ haemolysis was documented when there was normal growth without changes in the culture medium (no hemolysis)[<u>10</u>].

#### 2.7. Haemagglutination Test

The test was carried out based on the principles of RBC clumping in the presence of D-mannose as a result of bacterial fimbriae. The test was achieved by the direct bacterial haemagglutination test-slide method. Each isolate was introduced into nutrient broth in a test tube and incubated aerobically for 48 hrs at 37°C. Afterwards, 5 ml of RBC from human blood group 0 was dispensed into a test tube, washed thrice with normal saline, and reconstituted to a 3% suspension in the saline. The RBC suspension was either use immediately or within a week when kept at refrigerated temperature. One drop each of the broth culture of the test isolate and the reconstituted RBC suspension were positioned and mixed on a glass slide. After whirling the mixture gently for about 5 minutes, it was monitored for the presence of clumbing, which indicates а positive haemagglutination test. [11, 12].

#### 2.8. Statistical analysis

Non-parametric Mann-Whitney statistics was used to evaluate the significant difference between biofilm and non-biofilm producing organisms, between moderate and highbiofilm producing organisms, and between hemagglutination and hemolysin producing organisms. The significant level was recorded at 95% confidence interval. All statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS version 17.0.

#### 3. Result

The results in Table 1 showed the prevalence of bacterial isolates from hands of healthcare workers and inanimate surfaces in the hospital environment. From the 72 bacterial isolates recovered, 49(68.1%) and 23(31.9%) were non-biofilm and biofilmproducing organisms respectively. Of these, 15(20.8%) and 8(11.1%) were moderate and biofilm-producing high organisms respectively. The result further showed that biofilm was produced by Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Providencia rettgeri, Staphylococcus aureus in different and proportions. Pseudomonas aeruginosa was the most predominant biofilm-producing organism, closely followed by Escherichia coli with a prevalence of 13.9% and 8.3% respectively. However, none of the isolates of K. pneumoniae, Citrobacter diversus, Shigella spp., and *S. marcescens* produced biofilm.

**Table 1.** Biofilm-producing bacterial isolates from hand

 of healthcare workers and hospital fomites

			· · · · · ·	
Isolate	No (%)	Non- biofilm producin g	Moderate biofilm producing a	High biofilm producing a
Escherichia coli	15(20.8 )	9(18.4)	4(26.7)	2(25.0)
Klebsiella pneumoniae	3(4.2)	2(4.1)	1(6.7)	0
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	16(22.2 )	6(12.2)	6(40.0)	4(50.0)
Citrobacter diversus	4(5.6)	2(4.1)	2(13.3)	0
Shigella spp	2(2.8)	2(4.1)	0	0
Providencia rettgeri	4(5.6)	2(4.1)	1(6.7)	1(12.5)
Serratia marcescens	2(2.8)	2(4.1)	0	0
Staphylococcu s aureus	26(36.1 )	24(49.0)	1(6.7)	1(12.5)
Total	72	49(68.1)	15(20.8)	8(11.1)

**Legend**: parameters with same superscript typified lack of significant difference (P=0.665)

Non-biofilm-producing organisms were arithmetically higher than biofilm-producing

organisms but with no statistical difference (P=0.748). In the same vein, the number of moderate and high-biofilm-producing organisms showed no statistical difference (P=0.665)

The distribution of bacteria isolates for hemolysis and hemagglutination tests was documented in Table 2. The result showed that 14 (19.4%) of the bacterial isolates produced hemolysis on erythrocytes. From these, Staphylococcus aureus (71.4%) was the with predominant strain hemolysis production, followed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa (14.3%), and the least were Escherichia coli and Serratia marcescens with 1.7% prevalence rate each.

**Table 2.** Frequency of Bacterial isolates that are positive

 haemolysin and hemagglutination

Isolate	No (%)	No (%) + Haemolysisª	No (%) + Hemagglutinationª
Escherichia coli	15(20.8)	1(7.1)	2(15.4)
Klebsiella pneumoniae	3(4.2)	0	3(23.1)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	16(22.2)	2(14.3)	5(38.5)
Citrobacter diversus	4(5.6)	0	1(7.7)
Shigella spp	2(2.8)	0	2(15.4)
Providencia rettgeri	4(5.6)	0	0
Serratia marcescens	2(2.8)	1(7.1)	0
Staphylococcus aureus	26(36.1)	10(71.4)	NT
Total	72	14(19.4)	13(18.1)

**Legend**: parameters with the same superscript typified lack of significant difference (P=0.508)

For hemagglutination (HA), only 13 bacterial isolates were HA positive representing a prevalence of 18.1%. from these, the highest was from *P. aeruginosa* (38.5%), while the least was from *Citrobacter diversus* (7.7%). However, all isolates of *Providencia rettgeri* and *S. marcescens* were HA-negative.

The result from Table 3 showed the heteroresistance profile of bacterial isolates and sources from where they were isolated. The majority of the bacteria that exhibit heteroresistance were isolated from tables (43.8%), sinks (25.0%), beddings (18.8%), and the least from door handles (6.3%) and hands of healthcare workers (6.3%). *Escherichia coli* was heteroresistant to 1-2 antibiotics, mostly amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (amc) and ceftazidime (caz). *Pseudomonas* 

*aeruginosa* was heteroresistant to 1-7 antibiotics, mostly, imipenem (imp) and ceftazidime (caz). *Shigella* spp., showed heteroresistance to 7 antibiotics, while *Serratia marcescens* showed heteroresistance to 8 antibiotics.

The result in Table 4 showed the frequency of bacterial isolates that were heteroresistant. The result showed that 16 bacterial isolates portrayed heteroresistance to one or more antibiotics which constitutes a prevalence of 22.2%. Of these, *Escherichia coli* constitute the majority of the isolates with a prevalence of 43.8%, while all isolates of *Citrobacter diversus* and *Staphylococcus aureus* could not exhibit heteroresistance to any antibiotic.

Table	3.	Heteroresistance	profile	of	bacterial	isolates
from h	osp	oital fomites and h	and of h	eal	thcare wo	rkers

I ogond	nef	-perfloyacin	cn-gentamycin
Klebsiella pneumoniae		Sxt	Table
Providencia rettgeri		fox,pn	Sink
Serratia marcescens		pef,cn,apx,z,am,imp,fox,caz	z Table
Shigella spp		cro,cpx,s,sxt,e,pef,cn	Door handle
		caz,amc,cro	Sink
Pseudomonas aeruginosa		amc,cro,imp	Bedding
		imp, caz, iox, e, pei, z, s	Staff hand
		imp, caz, fox, e, pef, z, s	Sink
Escherichia coli		Fox	Table
		Cn	Table
		fox, caz Pn	Sink
	1	amc, imp	Beddings Table
		Amc	Beddings
		Caz	Table
		Caz	Table
Isolates		Heteroresistance profile	Sources

Legend: pef =perfloxacin, cn=gentamycin, S=streptomycin, pn=ampicillin, caz=ceftazidime, amc=amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, e=erythromycin, cro=ceftriaxone, cpx=ciprofloxacin, am=amoxicillin sxt=septrin, fox=cefoxitin

**Table 4.** Frequency of heteroresistant bacterial isolates

Organisms	No (%)	No (%) Heteroresistant
Escherichia coli	15(20.8)	7(43.8)
Klebsiella pneumoniae	3(4.2)	1(6.3)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	16(22.2)	5(31.3)
Citrobacter diversus	4(5.6)	0
Shigella spp	2(2.8)	1(6.3)
Providencia rettgeri	4(5.6)	1(6.3)
Serratia marcescens	2(2.8)	1(6.3)
Staphylococcus aureus	26(36.1)	0
Total	72	16(22.2)

#### 4. Discussion

Hemagglutination (HA) and haemolysis of red blood cells are indices of the pathogenic potential of bacterial species. The detection of a wide range of bacterial species that showed hemagglutination and haemolysis of red blood cells in our study area corroborates the report of a previous study [13]. The in-vitro demonstration of hemagglutination is often connected with the activities of hemagglutinins or adhesins which are used for the binding of cellular structures on the surfaces of erythrocyte [14]. Thus, HApositive isolates in this study can be considered most likely to have p-fimbriae. This is because fimbriated bacteria portrayed hemagglutination properties as previously documented [12, 13].

Fimbriae in bacterial isolates have been identified as appendages mediating the colonization and formation of biofilms on the biotic environment [15] and abiotic surfaces [16]. Fimbriae in E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and K. pneumoniae mediate the binding of the bacterial factors to the cellular structures on the epithelial cells of the urinary tract, which is essential in urinary tract infections. In a certain strain of E. coli also, fimbriae is essential in the cause of diarrhoea. Therefore, the detection organisms of with hemagglutination-producing traits on hospital fomites and the hands of healthcare workers may contribute to the burden of nosocomial infections involving urinary tract infections, septicemia, diarrhoea, among others in the study area.

Haemolysin production is a virulence factor considered important for some strains of bacteria to overcome host defence mechanisms. Haemolysis of erythrocytes increases the availability of iron in the bacterial environment. This facilitates their survival and invasion potentials in the host tissues. *Escherichia coli* hemolysin was also associated with cytotoxic molecules that has selective activity against neutrophils [17].

The occurrence of biofilm in bacterial isolates connotes the demonstration of a virulence marker that was responsible for most persistent infections [18]. Overall, 31.9% of isolates in this study were detected as

biofilm formers. This is lower than reports from previous studies that reported 62.7% [19], 64.3% [20], and 71.8% [21]. More so, the detection of Gram-negative bacteria especially *E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and K. pneumoniae* among biofilm formers in this study was in agreement with previous reports [19, 20]. The majority of biofilm-producing organisms were detected from patients' beddings, healthcare worker's tables, and sinks. Because the sink is usually moist, may facilitate biofilm production.

The high detection of biofilm production among Р. aeruginosa in this study corroborates the report of an earlier study [22]. According to them, *P. aeruginosa* has the ability to produce biofilm on medical devices and the surfaces of hospital fomites, in addition to medical complications. This may afterward lead to prolonged hospital stays and increased cost of treatment. More so, detection of biofilm-producing organisms particularly S. aureus K. pneumoniae, E. coli, and P. rettgeri on these sites, may prolong their longevity on the sites and facilitates their dissemination to susceptible patients in the hospital. As such, infections involving these organisms attached to hospital fomites could constitute a threat to therapy. Studies have shown that more than 80% of microbial infections in humans are biofilm-mediated infections [18, 23].

Organisms that formed or exist in biofilm matrices may harbour chains of benefits. These benefits include but are not limited to easily adherence to in vivo or invitro surfaces, the decline in growth rate, conferring the ability to evade the host immune systems, bestowing antibiotic resistant phenotype to the bacterial isolate through constraint in the movement of the antibiotic into the cell or the manifestation of chromosomal encoded genes [21, 24]. As such, the biofilm phenotype facilitates the development of multi-drug resistant organisms which often leads to treatment failure [18]. Also, biofilm is responsible for such infections that are lingering, re-occurring, and persistent, leading to a high rate of ill-health and death; thus, posing a serious health crisis [20].

The finding that heteroresistance (HR) can be demonstrated against a variety of antimicrobials and a wide range of bacteria [25, 26] was demonstrated in this study.

Heteroresistance (HR) is not a wellappreciated kind of resistance to the antibiotic; these are because, in HR, a larger population of bacterial species susceptible to a particular antibiotic may contain a marginal population that is resistant to the same antibiotic. This type of resistant phenotype is conspicuously different from the usual and well-documented resistant phenotype where all the cells in the bacterial population are not susceptible to a particular antibiotic [26, 27].

Although not much has been said about the clinical significance of HR [28]. Nonetheless, findings from previous research have shown that though heteroresistant cells constitute marginal of the total susceptible population, they can replicate rapidly; as such, they are prone to facilitate *in vivo* failure in therapy [27, 29] More to this, inappropriate advice, prescription and therapy may be ensured when heteroresistant cells are encountered in hospital laboratories [26]. This is because heteroresistant cells are often neglected or considered susceptible in routine antimicrobial susceptibility testing due to their marginal population.

#### 5. Conclusion

This study elucidates the presence of various caches of virulent markers on bacterial isolates recovered from the hands of healthcare workers and surfaces of hospital fomites in Mubi General Hospital. Each of these markers is unique and functions differently from the other. Infections with bacterial isolates with these traits could be dangerous. We, therefore, advocate speedy decontamination strategies in the hospital to mitigate the spread of these organisms to immunocompromised patients in the hospital, their caregivers, and outpatients.

#### **Conflict of Interests**

14 | Page

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Verbal informed consent was obtained from the healthcare workers of the hospital,

and consent was sought and obtained from the management of the hospital

#### **Consent for publications**

All authors read and approved the final manuscript for publication.

#### **Authors' Contribution**

The study was designed by author M.Y.T. who wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author, J.F. supervised and corrected the draft. Author R.E. and S.E.K. help in sample collection, laboratory procedure, and literature search.

#### **Funding/Support**

This research was self-sponsored

#### Availability of data and material

The authors have embedded all data in the manuscript.

#### References

1. Ige O, Jimoh O, Ige S, Ijei I, Zubairu H, Olayinka A (2021) Profile of bacterial pathogens contaminating hands of healthcare workers during daily routine care of patients at a tertiary hospital in northern Nigeria. African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology 22 (1): 103-108.

doi:<u>https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajcem.v22i</u> <u>1.14</u>

- 2. Nguyen VV, Dong HT, Senapin S, Pirarat N, Rodkhum C (2016) Francisella noatunensis subsp. orientalis, an emerging bacterial pathogen affecting cultured red tilapia (*Oreochromis* sp.) in Thailand. Aquaculture Research 47 (11): 3697-3702. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00059-12</u>
- 3. Sharma AK, Dhasmana N, Dubey N, Kumar N, Gangwal A, Gupta M, Singh Y (2017) Bacterial virulence factors: secreted for survival. Indian journal of microbiology 57 (1): 1-10. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12088.016-0625-1</u>
- 4. Cepas V, Soto SM (2020) Relationship between virulence and resistance among gram-negative bacteria. Antibiotics 9 (10): 719.

doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics91 00719

- 5. Leitão JH (2020) Microbial virulence factors. Int J Mol Sci 21 (15): 5320. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms2115532</u> <u>0</u>
- Tula MY, Enabulele OI, Ophori AE, Aziegbemhin AS, Iyoha O, Filgona J (2022) Phenotypic and molecular detection of multi-drug resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* species from water sources in Adamawa-North senatorial zone, Nigeria. DYSONA-Life Science 3 (2): 57-68. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.30493/DLS.2022.3</u> 51097.
- 7. Tula MY, Onyeje GA, John A (2018) Prevalence of Antibiotic Resistant and Biofilm Producing *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp from Two Sources of Water in Mubi, Nigeria. Frontier in Science 8 (1): 18-25.

doi:https://doi.org/10.5923/j.fs.20180801 .03

- 8. Clinical, Institute LS (2017) Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Wayne, PA,
- El-Halfawy OM, Valvano MA (2015) Antimicrobial heteroresistance: an emerging field in need of clarity. Clinical microbiology reviews 28 (1): 191-207. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00058-14</u>
- 10. Valliammai A, Sethupathy S, Ananthi S, Priya A, Selvaraj A, Nivetha V, Aravindraja C, Mahalingam S, Pandian SK (2020) Proteomic profiling unveils citral modulating expression of IsaA, CodY and SaeS to inhibit biofilm and virulence in Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules 158): 208-221. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.20</u> 20.04.231
- 11. Vagarali MA, Karadesai SG, Patil CS, Metgud SC, Mutnal MB (2008) Haemagglutination and siderophore production as the urovirulence markers of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology 26 (1): 68-70. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0255-0857(21)01997-6</u>
- 12. Hassan R, El-Naggar W, El-Sawy E, El-Mahdy A (2011) Characterization of some

virulence factors associated with Enterbacteriaceae isolated from urinary tract infections in Mansoura Hospitals. Egypt J Med Microbiol 20 (2): 9-17

- 13. Rajkumar H, Devaki R, Kandi V (2016) Comparison of hemagglutination and hemolytic activity of various bacterial clinical isolates against different human blood groups. Cureus 8 (2). doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.489</u>
- 14. Persson G, Bojesen AM (2015) Bacterial determinants of importance in the virulence of *Gallibacterium anatis* in poultry. Veterinary Research 46 (1): 1-11. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-015-0206-z</u>
- 15. Chung PY (2016) The emerging problems of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infections: carbapenem resistance and biofilm formation. FEMS microbiology letters 363 (20): fnw219. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1093/femsie/fnw2</u> 19
- 16. Murphy CN, Clegg S (2012) Klebsiella pneumoniae and type 3 fimbriae: nosocomial infection, regulation and biofilm formation. Future Microbiology 7 (10): 1234-1234. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.12.74</u>.
- 17. Hogan JS, Todhunter DA, Smith KL, Schoenberger PS (1990) Hemagglutination and Hemolysis by *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Bovine Intramammary Infections1. Journal of Dairy Science 73 (11): 3126-3131.

doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-</u>0302(90)79001-7

- Swarna S, Gomathi S (2017) Biofilm Production in Carbapenem Resistant Isolates from Chronic Wound Infections. International Journal of Medical Research & Health Sciences 6 (2): 61-67
- 19. Dumaru R, Baral R, Shrestha LB (2019) Study of biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance pattern of gram-negative *Bacilli* among the clinical isolates at BPKIHS, Dharan. BMC Research Notes 12 (1): 1-6. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-019-</u> 4084-8
- 20. Allam N (2017) Correlation between Biofilm Production and Bacterial UrinaryTract Infections: New Therapeutic Approach. Egyptian Journal of Microbiology 52 (1): 39-48.

doi:https://doi.org/10.21608/EJM.2017.1 014.1021

- 21. Shrestha LB, Bhattarai NR, Khanal B (2018) Comparative evaluation of methods for the detection of biofilm formation in coagulase-negative staphylococci and correlation with antibiogram. Infection and drug resistance 11): 607. doi:https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S159764
- 22. SARKAR S, DUTTA S, NAMHATA A, BANERJEE C, SENGUPTA M, SENGUPTA M (2020) Beta-lactamase Profile and Biofilm Production of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Isolated from a Tertiary Care Hospital in Kolkata, India. Journal of Clinical & Diagnostic Research 14 (10): 22-27. doi:https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2020/4 4128.14161
- 23. Kukhtyn M, Berhilevych O, Kravcheniuk K, Shynkaruk O, Horyuk Y, Semaniuk N (2017) The influence of disinfectants on microbial biofilms of dairy equipment. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 45 (4): 999-1007. doi:https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.4.999 -1007.2001
- 24. Macia MD, Rojo-Molinero E, Oliver A (2014) Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in biofilm-growing bacteria. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 20 (10): 981doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-990. 0691.12651

- 25. Andersson DI, Nicoloff H, Hjort K (2019) Mechanisms and clinical relevance of bacterial heteroresistance. Nature Reviews Microbiology 17 (8): 479-496. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0218-1.
- 26. Band VI, Weiss DS (2021) Heteroresistance to beta-lactam antibiotics may often be a stage in the progression to antibiotic resistance. PLoS Biology 19 (7): e3001346. doi:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio. 3001346
- 27. Brauner A, Fridman O, Gefen O, Balaban (2016) Distinguishing between NQ resistance, tolerance and persistence to Nature Reviews antibiotic treatment. 320-330. Microbiology 14 (5): doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016 .34
- 28. Falagas ME, Makris GC, Dimopoulos G, Matthaiou DK (2008) Heteroresistance: a concern of increasing clinical significance? Clinical Microbiology and Infection 14 (2): 101-104. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-

0691.2007.01912.x

29. Mesev EV, LeDesma RA, Ploss A (2019) Decoding type I and III interferon signalling during viral infection. Nature microbiology 4 (6): 914-924. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0480-z

# (cc)

Copyright © 2023 by the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

# How to Cite This Article:

Tula MY, Filgona J, Kyauta SE, Elisha R (2023) Screening for some virulent factors among Cellular, Molecular Reports (1): 9-16. doi:10.55705/cmbr.2022.355120.1054

# Download citation:

RIS; EndNote; Mendeley; BibTeX; APA; MLA; HARVARD; VANCOUVER